

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/607,107	06/25/2003	Chunseng Guo	871.0111.U1(US	3629
29683 7	7590 04/06/2005		EXAM	INER
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP			BUI, BING Q	
4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2642	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2009	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Action Summary	10/607,107	GUO ET AL.				
omoc Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Bing Q Bui	2642				
Period for Reply	rappears on the cover sheet wi	ur die correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 June 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ the drawing(s) be held in abeyan prection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	م السند م	(PTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/02/03</u>. 		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-23 are pending in the application for examination, wherein claims 1 and
 being independent.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally *limited to a single*paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words.

Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 9-14, 16-17 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brennan et al (US Pat No. 5,329,578), herein after referred as Brennan.

Regarding claim 1, Brennan teaches a telephone system for connecting callers and users, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/607,107

Art Unit: 2642

user-specifiable means for defining at least one filter for filtering incoming calls and taking user-definable responses on incoming calls that satisfy the requirements of said at least one filter (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5), in which:

the user specifies a profile (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5);

the user has the option of modifying parameters of the specified profile, including specifying at least one filter in the profile and 'specifying user-specifiable parameters thereof (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5);

the system includes means for guiding the user through a setup sequence (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, Ins 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5); and

the telephone system includes means for applying the user-specified profiles (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, Ins 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Regarding claim 2, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to claim 1, in which at least one profile depends on the status of the user, selected by the user from a list of at least two profiles, with a set of responses correlated with the status specified in the selected profile (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Regarding claim 4, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to at least one profile has at least two responses that are activated according to the time of day (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Application/Control Number: 10/607,107

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 5, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to claim 4, in which at least two responses that are activated according to the time of day depend on the user's normal working hours and the user's normal sleeping hours (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Regarding claim 9, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to claim 1, in which at least two filters apply a different response to an incoming call of the same category (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, Ins 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Regarding claim 10, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to claim 1, in which the system identifies the location of the user (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Regarding claim 11, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to claim 1, in which calls that satisfy a specified criterion pass through the tilter even if they do not satisfy another criterion of the tilter (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

Regarding claim 12, Brennan teaches the telephone system for connecting callers and users according to claim 1, in which the user specifies a set of at least one response to at least one filter (see Figs 3a-3e; and col. 13, lns 4-56 in combination with Tables 1-5).

As to claims 13-14, 16-17 and 21-23, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-2, 4-5 and 9-12, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,107 Page 5

Art Unit: 2642

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 3, 6-8, 15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brennan '578 in view of Shaffer et al (US Pat No. 6,600,817), herein after referred as Shaffer.

Brennan fails to teach the limitations of claims 3, 6-8, 15 and 18-20. However, Shaffer teaches the recited limitations substantially as claimed, a method and system that provide time dependent screening against a target user profile of communication connections to a target communication terminal when a call is initiated within the same time zone or in a different time zone as the target communication terminal, so that the incoming call will not automatically be connected to the target communication terminal

Application/Control Number: 10/607,107 Page 6

Art Unit: 2642

(see col. 1, Ins 15-29 and col. 4, In 24-col. 3, In 54). Therefore, integrating Shaffer's teachings into communication system of Brennan would have been obvious for preventing a call from a caller who may unknowingly place the call to a target user at an odd or undesirable time with respect to the target user.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art in general:

U.S. Pat. No. 4,611,094

U.S. Pat. No. 5,199,062

U.S. Pat. No. 5,467,388

U.S. Pat. No. 5,734,709

U.S. Pat. No. 5,802,160

U.S. Pat. No. 6,021,190

U.S. Pat. No. 6,249,815

U.S. Pat. No. 6,831,970

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7482. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,107 Page 7

Art Unit: 2642

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response

□EXPEDITED PROCEDURE□) or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

02 Apr 2005

BING Q. BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER